## A WANDERING SHEEP

Story of an Ohio Lamb That Strayed Into the Lion's Lair.

## THE ADVANTAGES OF PROTECTION

To the American Wool Growers-Re turn to the Fold-A Moral to Ohio Wool Men-An Unanswerable Argument for the Wool Tariff-Facts and Figures That Cannot Be Successfully Assailed.

The following correspondence re ceived by the American Economist, and will be published in this week's issue, explains itself. First we have a doubting protectionist frankly proclaiming wherein he doubted. Next we have the clearest exposition of fact in regard to the wool growing industry that has ever been published. Lastly comes the wandering sheep in his "return to the fold." First we publish the communication of the wanderer:

LOCKLAND, O., Sept. 28, '93. Theodore Justice, Philadelphia, Pa.

Theodore Justice, Philadelphia, Pa.

Dear Sir.—I read your letter to F. Mackaman, of Waynesburg, O., which was published in the Cleveland Ledger a few days ago. Although I am a Republican and a protectionist, I am not sure that American wool would not be better protected by being placed on the free list than by any import duty that might be placed on foreign wool. In the following you will find my reasons for this opinion, and if any of my assertions are not true, I hope you will point out to me clearly where I am in error, for I want to get the facts relating to the wool industry.

A great many articles are imported that might be manufactured in this country, if we were not handicapped in

that might be manufactured in this country, if we were not handicapped in the matter of raw material, and much money would be retained here that now finds its way into the pockets of the foreigner, for American labor, though devrer per man, is cheaper than foreign labor, because more is produced per man in this country than in foreign countries. In support of this statement, I will say that we export locomotives, watches, small hardware and many other things composed almost entirely of labor, but we do not, and cantriely of labor, but we do not, and cantirely of labor, but we do not, and can-not, export articles composed almost entirely of raw material. I believe that by putting some raw materials, wool ong them, on the free list, we would among them, on the free list, we would be protecting our manufacturers more than we are protecting them now, for we would enable them to make many things that they cannot make under the present conditions. This would result in the employment of more people than have ever been employed here, and it would result in greater trade than we have ever had. I do not believe that placing wool on the free list would stop the production in this country nor that it would lessen the price. To illustrate: We import many woolen goods that we cannot make because the duty on foreign wool precludes its use, and because of the fact that American wool cannot be manufactured into as fine goods as produced by a combination of American

and foreign wools.

If the duty on foreign wool were removed, the American manufacturers with the demands of 65,000,000 people back of them, would become bidders for this wool and the price of it would naturally advance under the law of supply and demand. The foreign manufacturer would have to pay the same price for it that we would pay, and with the advance in price of the foreign wool there would be an advance in the American wool. ican wool.

and foreign wools.

Hoping that you will give these points due consideration and let me hear from you, I remain, Yours truly, [Signed] E. H. GEISY.

OVERRULING THE OBJECTIONS. PHILADELPHIA, Oct. 6, 1893.

E. H. Giescy, Lug, Mannger Mourge Refrigerato Company, Luckwood, Ohio.

DEAR SIE:- I have your favor of Sep-

tember 28.

I wonder that you are not a Democrat, believing, as you appear to, in so many untruths. Your facts are all wrong, therefore it follows that your conclusions must be wrong, and I recommend your careful reading of a book on "The American Wool Industry," written by the Hon, William Lawrence, president of the Ohio Wool Growers' Association. I mail you a copy and ask you to read it carefully and to examine particularly the diagrams on the back cover, with the description of that diagram which is on the inside of the back cover. The unthinking would under-mine the foundations of our whole in-dustrial system and bring down upon their heads the whole structure. You, I am sure, hold your present views owing to want of proper information. How could the American wool industry How could the American wool industry be better protected by wool being placed on the free list when the London value from the time of the passage of the tariff law in 1867 up to January 1, 1863, was 50 per cent below the American price? If protection doubled the American price, would not the removal of protection cause the price to fall to the level of the markets of the world which from 1807 to 1803 were world, which from 1867 to 1893 were less than one-balf of the American

To-day we have 45,000,000 of sheep in To-day we have 45,000,000 of sheep in the United States, 27,500,000 of which and over 60 per cent of all are located west of the Mississippi river. The freight on the wool from these sheep from the ranch to the eastern markets varies from 2 to 3 cents per pound, while the freight on wool from London is only 3 cent per pound. How can the American wool grower pay from 800 to 1,200 per cent more freight than his foreign competitor, when the freight alone competitor, when the freight alone under such unequal competition would of itself bar the American wool grower from his own market? Thus, with the removal of protection, the difference in freight alone would be so heavily against the American wool grower that he would be compelled to go out of the business.

COMPARATIVE COST OF CLOTHING If you have visited the Chicago expo

sition, you will find that, without exception, the woolens made by the American mills, in texture, color and finish, outclass all foreign competitors For confirmation of this read the testi For confirmation of this read the testi-mony of Mr. Latzko, the Austrian World's Fair commissioner, before the committee of ways and means in Sep-tember. Mr. Latzko was one of the judges. He says that the American goods have no superior in any part of the world. There is nothing manufac-tured from wool that cannot be as well tured from wool that cannot be as well made in this country as elsewhere. The difference between free raw material in London and protected raw material under the McKinley law is only 90 cents in a fine dress suit of broadcloth. You can buy abroad a suit of fine broadcloth containing three pounds of scoured wool costing in London 30 scoured wool costing in London 30 scoured records. cents per pound, or 90 cents for all the raw material in such a suit. The whole of the balance of the cost of such a suit of clothing represents labor and profit

to the manufacturers, the free raw ma to the manufacturers, the free raw material in it costing not over 90 cents. In America the same three pounds of scoured wool under the McKinley law costs double the free trade price, or \$1.80 (one pound of scoured merino wool would cost 60 cents here, as agains 30 cents in London). In a suit of clothing such as would be used by workingmen, the difference would be less than a dress suit and less than 90 cents, probably not over 75 cents on each suit of clothing made of coarse wool. Coarse or medium scoured wools cost

Coarse or medium scoured wools cost Coarse or medium sconred wools cost over 25 cents per pound in London, and in the United Stater under the McKinley law the scoured cost of the same scoured coarse wool is under 50 cents, so that the greatest difference between the free raw material and the protected raw material in a suit of workingman's clothing would not exceed 75 cents, as against a difference of 90 cents between against a difference of 90 cents between free raw material and protected raw material in a fine broadcloth suit, such as the well-to-do would wear for evening dress. To give the consumer the benefit of from 75 to 90 cents on each suit of clothes it is proposed to take from wool the protection that it has had for a generation, and subject it to the competition of imported cheap free WOOL. COMPARATIVE COSTS OF GROWING.

Ignoring the differences in freight against the American wool grower, alluded to above, the Australian wool grower has the advantage of pasture all grower has the advantage of pasture all the year round, the pasture in Australia being better in winter than in summer. The wool grower in Australia rents his land from the government for a smaller charge than the Onio wool grower in many cases pays in taxes alone, and the latter, owing to our rizorous winter cli-mate five months in the year, feeds his sheep hay and grain, nearly the whole of which is labor. It costs nothing to grow hay or grain; nature does that The main cost to the farmer of hay and grain is in the preparing of the land, in cultivating, in harvesting, and the feed-ing, which are all laber, and which rep-resent 90 per cent of the whole cost of production, the remaining 10 per cent representing interest and taxes. Now, under these conditions, the cost of rais-ing wool in the United States is at least ing wool in the United States is at least 11 cents per pound in actual outlay greater than the cost of raising the same class of wool in Austrália, or the Argentine Republic, and the McKinley tariff law places the duty of 11 cents per pound on imported unwashed wool to put the American woll grower on even terms with his foreign competitor. The latter has advantages of cheap land, and in climatic condition and cheaper freight, which to him is fully equivalent to Il cents per pound.

MANUFACTURERS NOT HAMPERED. American manufacturers are now in no way hampered in the matter of raw material. This is proved by the fact that under the McKinley law our imports of foreign wool were much greater than ever before. We imported foreign raw wool during the year ending June 30, 1893, in round figures over 168,000,000 pounds, an increase of 32 per cent over the heaviest imports of any one over the heaviest imports of any one year under any previous tarid law. The cost of this wool to the American manufacturer was less, including duties, than it had ever been before. Now, with the larger quantities of wool imported, and with its cost lessened, wherein is there any ground, for your statement that the American woolen manufacturer is handicapped in the matter of raw material? Besides, the McKinley law provides that exported goods made of Imported wool shall entitle the exporter to a return of all such duties paid on such

a return of all such duties paid on such raw material save one per cent. If there has been any change, the cost of a suit of clothing to-day under the McKinley law is lower than ever before in the history of the country.

FREE WOOL MEANS LESS REVENUE. If the duty be soon removed or even reduced, at a time when the government needs more rather than less revenue, the only way to procure even the same revenue that is now collected is to

double our imports. If we double our imports we obtain our supplies from abroad instead of at home. We cripple our manufacturers and lessen our market for our wool. We give a great industrial impulse to foreign mills, and to this extent take employment from our American mills and for what? Simour American mills, and for what? Simply in order to raise as much revenue under reduced duties for revenue only as we now collect under duties that are

The London value of wool during the two years previous to Mr. Cieveland's inauguration fell over 30 per cent., and during the same period American declined less than 7 per cent. If it was not the protection of McKinley tariff that stood between Ohio wool growers and the cheaper wool markets of the world, what was it?

THE FEAR OF PREE TRADE.

Since President Cleveland's inauguration, and the assault by his administration upon the American wool industry, American prices have declined from 25 to 30 per cent, when the outside markets of the world are unchanged, and why? Why did American wools hold up before this administration came in and fall immediately afterward? It was because it was well known by everybody in the business that the London of free trade price could not be rewebed. or free trade price could not be reached without a decline in American prices of at least 50 per cent, and the movement toward the London market is in pro-

When you state that a workman will produce more cloth in this country than in foreign countries under condi-tions otherwise similar, you make a statement without foundation in fact, A manufacturer in this vicinity who, up to 1890, was making wooden goods in Bradford, England, under free trade moved his machinery under the Mc-Kinley law from England to the United States. He has been running identi-cally the same machinery, and with some of the same help employed upon the same machinery, under free trade in England and under the McKinley law in the United States. He says that the same man turns out as much or more work in England as in the United work work in Eagland as in the United States, and that the labor cost in the weaving alone on a yard of cloth in England under cheap foreign wages is less than two cents, and the labor cost of weaving one yard of the same quality of cloth in the United States is seven cents. This owing to the higher wages raid here. paid here.

You say that we export locomotives, watches, hardware and many other things almost entirely composed of labor. The exporter of locomotives and watches gets a drawback of 99 per cent of the duties paid on his imported raw material, but, in point of fact, locomotives are now exported because the American type rather than the foreign type of locomotives are wanted abroad. The largest exporter of locomotives income that if the English machine. forms me that if the English machinists choose to copy our patterns of loco-motives, owing to the differences in labor in their favor, they will be able to beat us out of such foreign markets as we now hold. The same applies to watches.

MANUFACTURERS PAVOR THE DUTY. You say that by putting raw materials on the free list we would be protecting

our manufacturers more than we are protecting them now. You appear to know more about their interests than they do themselves, otherwise why should 85 per cent of all of the American woolen manufacturers join in a petition to the United States senate against the placing of wool on the free list? You can see in the New York Daily Tribune of September 13 the telegram announcing from Washington that woolen manufacturers protested for seven hours before the ways and means committee against any disturbance of the McKinley gainst any disturbance of the McKinley

You say than American wool cannot be manufactured into as fine goods as are produced by a combination of American and foreign wools. Here you are again greatly in error. The late Caplain J. P. Bradley, of Ballardville, Mass., and, I believe, also Mr. Moses Stephens, a member of the present committee of ways and means, washe woods a outer of a century ago ent committee of ways and mean, made goods a quarter of a century ago of American pick lock or Saxony wool which were so extremely fine that a yard of it could be drawn through a lady's finger ring. No finer goods can be made to-day from any wool grown in any other part of the world. Hundreds of thousands of pounds of this pick lock or Saxony wool, with a diameter of from 2,200 to 2,500 fibers to the inch, was sold under this very roof in former was sold under this very roof in former

WHAT ABOUT OHIO'S CLIP? It is quite true, as you say, that if the duty on foreign wool be removed the American manufacturers would become bidders on foreign wool. If American manufacturers are to supply themselves with foreign wool it would only be because it would be cheaper to them than Ohio wool. Who then will be left to buy the Ohio clip and what is to be come of it? If American wool falls to the foreign price under free trade, the Ohio sheep will be fattened and sent to the butcher and wool growing in Ohio the butcher, and wool growing in Ohio will cease to be an industry there except in limited cases where the farmers can produce the coarser wool sheep for the meat, as they now produce cattle for that purpose. WILSON FROM AWAY BACK.

Chairman Wilson, of the committee of ways and means, being wholly unable to find any plausible reason for the removal of the duty upon wool, had to resort for an illustration to a comparison with conditions in 1857. He stated that "wool in 1857 was put on the free list, and that at that time we were prosperous." Now, what were then the existing conditions? In July, 1857.

XX Ohio wool was worth 60 cents, and wool was then put on the free list; then, as now, the Democrats had control of as now, the Democrate had control of all branches of the government. James Buchanan was President and both branches of Congress were Democratic. What followed? A financial panic spread all over the country, and by the end of that year XX Ohio wool had fal-lea from 60 to 30 cents, a decline of 50 per cent in six mouths. Chairman Wilson points to this condition as a Wilson points to this condition as a happy state of affairs, and invites the American people to a new trial of the same experience. Besides, in 1857, the conditions of the world's supply were very unlike those of 1893. Australia then produced only 30,000,000 pounds of scoured wool, as against 250,000,000 pounds in 1873, an increase of over 700 per cent; the River Platte produced only 10,000,000 pounds of scoured wool, and in 1893 that country produced 110,and in 1893 that country produced 110,000,000 pounds of scoured wool, an increase of 1,000 per cent. The Cape of Good Hope in 1857 produced only 10,000,000 pounds of scoured wool, while in 1893 that country produced 50,000,000 pounds of scoured wool, an increase of 460 per cent. Thus the world's supply of wool in 1893 is drawn from the southon wool in 1885 is grawn from the south-ern itemisphere, where, by reason of per-ennial pastures better in winter than in summer, wool can be produced at from 10 to 12 cents per pound below its cost in the rigorous winter climate of the United States, while in 1857 the bulk of

the world's supply came from the north-ern hemisimere, where, owing to winter feeding, wool growing is always more costly than in Australia. INGH PRICES FOR FOREIGNERS.

You say if Americans buy Australian wool it will lessen English supplies and advance English prices. This could not take place until the American clip is no longer produced. Then if we had enough protection on cloth to cover the difference between English and American factory wages so that we could compete with English cloth in our own markets, we would buy our raw wools in the London market as a matter of course and the world's price for wool would advance. It might even advance would advance. It might even advance to a degree that would make wool in the free trade markets of the world as high as it has been in the United States under protection, but when this takes place the cost of the raw material in a suit of clothes must then be fully as great under free wool as it is to-day with protected wool. Admitting that such may be the case, what has been accomplished? What has been gained? You have destroyed the American wool industry, worth to the nation \$200,000,000, and lessened the ability of the American wool prower to purchase clothing. You have destroyed a market for 45,000,000 bushels of corn market for 45,000,000 bushels of corn and 200,000,000 pounds of hay previously consumed by our 45,000,000 sheep. You have lessened the value of sheep. You have lessened the value of the sheep farm; you have paid an awful price for free raw material, and at the end of ten years, after destroying the American wool supply, which is one-eighth of the world's product, wool becomes scarce and dear, and, owing to the advance in the wool markets of the world, clothing would be as dear or dearer than it ever was under the McKinley law. Now, it clothing is not to be permanently cheapened, what advantage is these in paying such a price for the experiment?

for the experiment?
The prosperity of this country under the McKinley law has been the greatest in its history. Why should we there-fore, repeal that law under which the American nation has been not only the

It is very difficult

to convince children that a medicine is "nice to take" -this trouble is not experienced in administering

## Scott's

of Cod Liver Oil. It is almost as palatable as milk. No preparation so rapidly builds up good flesh, strength and nerve force. Mothers the world over rely upon it in all wasting diseases that children are heir to.

Prepared by Scott & Bowns, N. Y. All dengerists.

most prosperous nation of the world, but during that time, the only prosper-ous nation of the world?"

Very truly yours, THEODORE JUSTICE. THE LOST SHEEP STRAYS NO MORE. LOCKLAND, O., October 9, 1893.

DEAR SIE:-Your letter of the 6th inst., in reply to mine of the 28th ultimo, is at hand, and proves the truth of an old saying, which is, in substance, that a fool can make an assertion in a few lines that will take many pages

few lines that will take many pages from a wise man to disprove.
You have given me some hard raps, but I will put my pride in my pocket and ofter your letter to the leading Republican papers of this state for publication, that others, misled more than I was by the false claims of Democratic free traders, may see the error of their contents of the property of the p course, and return to the fold.

E. H. Gusy.

To Greet Old Liberty.

PHILADRIPHIA, PA., Oct. 31. - Great preparations are being made to receive the old liberty bell on its arrival here on Saturday next. There will be a on Saturday next. Here will be in monster parade in which all the military headed by the governor will join. The national guard of New Jersey will also be represented; the city councils of Philadelphia and Trenton, 6,000 school children and many fireman's organizations and civic societies will be in ganizations and civic societies will be in line. It is proposed to make it the largest demonstration of late years.

Catarrh Cannot be Cared

with Local APPLICATIONS, as they cannot reach the seat of the disease. Catarrh is a blood or constitutional disease, and in order to cure it you must
take internal remedies. Hall's Catarrh
Cure is taken internally, and acts directly on the blood and mucons surfaces. Hall's Catarrh Cure is not a
mask medicine. It was prescribed by quack medicine. It was prescribed by one of the best physicians in this country for years, and is a regular pre-scription. It is composed of the best scription. It is composed of the best tonics known, combined with the best blood purifiers, acting directly on the mucous surfaces. The perfect combination of the two ingredients is what produces such wonderful results in curing catarrh. Send for testimonials, free. F. J. Cheney & Co., Props.,

Toledo, O.

Sold by druggists, 75c.

Mamma—"Yes, my child, we shall know each other in heaven." Fannie—
"But, mamma, can we make believe we are out when they call?"—Texas Sift-

Great Triumph.

Instant relief experienced and a per manent cure by the most speedy and greatest remedy in the world—Otto's Cure for throat and lung diseases. Why will you continue to irritate your throat and lungs with that terrible hacking and lungs with that terrible hacking cough, when Logan Drug Co., soleagent, will furnish you a free sample bottle of this great guaranteed remedy? Its success is simply wonderful, as your druggist will tell you. Otto's Cure is now sold in every town and village on this continent. Samples free. Large bottles 50c. bottles 50c

BROWN'S IRON BITTERS cures Dyspepsia, Indigestion & Debility.

When Lucy Stone and Mr. Black well decided to marry they had to send thirty or forty miles for a clergyman who would omit the word "oney" from the ceremony.

Strength and Health.

Sirength and Health.

If you are not feeling strong and healthy, try Electric Bitters. If "La Grippe" has left you weak and weary, use Electric Bitters. This remedy acts directly on liver, stomach and kidneys, gently aiding those organs to perform their functions. If you are afflicted with sick headache, you will find speedy and permanent relief by taking Electric Bitters. One trial will convince you that this is the remedy you need. Large bottles only 50c, at Logan Drug Co.'s drug store.

6

## The Kind of

medicine you need is the old reliable tonic and blood-purifier,

can have no substitute. Cures others,

will cure you

LIMA, OHIO.

PARTICULARS



You Must be Mistaken if you think you've used Pearline, and have any fault to find with it. It must have been something else. That sometimes happens. Because Pearline is so well known and so popular, it has become a household name. People say "Pearline" when they

mean anything that may claim to help in washing. Perhaps you haven't noticed-and that's just where the trouble is. If you've been using the worthless or dangerous imitations, no wonder you're out of patience. But don't make Pearline suffer for it. Don't give up the good, because your disgusted

with the poor. Send Peddlers and some unscrupulous grocers will tell you "this is as good as" or "the same as Pearline." IT'S FALSE—Pearline is never peddled, it Back and if your grocer sends you something in place of Pearline, be honest—send it back.

358

JAMES PYLE, New York.



STRONGEST.

Assets, \$8,086,462.26.

Compound Interest Investment HOME LIFE INSURANCE CO.

OF NEW YORK.

For particulars, address
H. B. MOESER, Manager, 531 Wood St., Pittsburg. Surplus, \$1,528,966.54,

BEST.

MOST LIBERAL F. M. Thomas, General Agent, Kingwood, W. Va.

"A HAND SAW IS A GOOD THING, BUT NOT TO

SHAVE WITH."

IS THE PROPER THING FOR HOUSE-CLEANING.

A WONDERFUL NERVE AND BRAIN REMEDY. (17)

For sale by McLAIN BROS., Twelfth and Market St., and GEO. H. EBELING, 2125 Market St.



or simulation which lead to infinity, consumption and insumity which is a very sense to early the property of the property of

For Sale in Wheeling, W. Va., by LOGAN DRUG COMPANY, cor. Tenth and





THE ORIO CHEMICAL CO.:—GENTLEMEN:—Some time ago I sent for \$1.00 worth of your Tablets for Tobacco Habit. I received em all right and, although I was both a heavy smoker and chewer, y did the work in less than three days. I am cured.

Truly yours, MATHEW JOHNSON, P. O. Box 45.

THE ORIO CREMICAL CO.:-GENTLEMEN:-It gives me pleasure to speak a word of praise for your Tablets. My son was strongly addicted to the use quor, and through a friend, I was led to try your Tablets. He was then yand stant drinker, but after using your Tablets but three days he quit drinking, ill not touch liquor of any kind. I have waited four month before writing porder to know the cure was permanent.

Yours traly, HELEN MORRISON.

THE OHIO CHEMICAL CO:—GENTLEMEN:—Your Tablets have performed a miracle in my case.

I have used morphine, hypodermically, for seven years, and have been cured by the use of two packages or your Tablets, and without any effort on my part.

Address all Orders to

OHIO CHEMICAL CO., 51, 53 and 55 Opera Block. LIMA, OHIO.